

ARTICLE

Received 17 Jun 2011 | Accepted 14 Dec 2011 | Published 24 Jan 2012

DOI:10.1038/ncomms1642

New evidence on the colour and nature of the isolated *Archaeopteryx* feather

Ryan M. Carney¹, Jakob Vinther², Matthew D. Shawkey³, Liliana D'Alba³ & Jörg Ackermann⁴

Archaeopteryx has been regarded as an icon of evolution ever since its discovery from the Late Jurassic limestone deposits of Solnhofen, Germany in 1861. Here we report the first evidence of colour from *Archaeopteryx* based on fossilized colour-imparting melanosomes discovered in this isolated feather specimen. Using a phylogenetically diverse database of extant bird feathers, statistical analysis of melanosome morphology predicts that the original colour of this *Archaeopteryx* feather was black, with 95% probability. Furthermore, reexamination of the feather's morphology leads us to interpret it as an upper major primary covert, contrary to previous interpretations. Additional findings reveal that the specimen is preserved as an organosulphur residue, and that barbule microstructure identical to that of modern bird feathers had evolved as early as the Jurassic. As in extant birds, the extensive melanization would have provided structural advantages to the *Archaeopteryx* wing feather during this early evolutionary stage of dinosaur flight.

¹ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02906, USA. ² Jackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, USA. ³ Integrated Bioscience Program, University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325, USA. ⁴ Carl Zeiss NTS GmbH, Oberkochen 73447, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.M.C. (email: ryan_carney@brown.edu) and M.D.S. (shawkey@uakron.edu).

ARTICLE

n 1861, *Archaeopteryx lithographica* was unearthed from the 150million-year-old Solnhofen Lagerstätte¹. The mosaic of reptilian traits (for example, teeth, long vertebral tail and manual unguals) and avian plumage in these fossils (Fig. 1a,b) has since inspired a rich scientific literature on *Archaeopteryx* and the origin of birds^{1,3}, yet the animal's colour, a diverse and multifunctional trait in modern birds^{4–6}, has remained only speculative. Additionally, unresolved questions have persisted regarding the anatomical identity and composition of the isolated feather specimen, which was the first described *Archaeopteryx* fossil^{7–9} and the only one preserved as a dark trace (Fig. 1a)^{1,10,11}. Similar fossilized feather traces have been found to preserve colour-imparting organelles called melanosomes^{12–16}, which consist of melanins, the most widespread and abundant pigments in animals and found in the feathers of all birds (excluding albinos)⁴. Melanins primarily fall into two categories, eumelanins and phaeomelanins⁴, and the morphological properties of the associated melanosomes have been used to reconstruct various plumage colours of extinct dinosaurs^{12–16}. Elucidating the phylogenetic and anatomical distributions of feather colouration can further our understanding of dinosaur evolution, palaeobiology and aesthetics.



Figure 1 | Specimens and reconstructed wing of Archaeopteryx. (a) Optical photograph of the isolated fossil feather (MB.Av.100). Scale bar: 5 mm. (b) Berlin skeletal specimen (HMN 1880), showing the ventral surface of the wings^{1,2}. Scale bar: 5 cm. (c) Dorsal surface of left wing showing approximate location of isolated feather (in black), interpreted as a distal member of the major tract of upper primary coverts. Wing redrawn after Wellnhofer¹, based on the Berlin skeleton and showing phalanges (ph I,II), primaries (I-XII), secondaries (1-14) and hypothetical reconstructions of major, median and minor tracts of upper coverts (dashed lines)¹. Scale bar: 5 cm.



Figure 2 | **Organosulphur residue and melanosomes in the** *Archaeopteryx* **feather.** (a) Optical photograph indicating sample locations (circles; not to scale) examined via SEM. Red-filled circles (n = 2) represent locations where data were collected for statistical analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). White-filled circles (n = 4) represent locations where melanosomes were observed but not measured due to poor preservation; unfilled circles (n = 17) represent locations where observed but not measured due to poor preservation; unfilled circles (n = 17) represent locations where no melanosomes were observed. Scale bar: 5 mm. (b) Detail of boxed area (a), backscattered electron image showing barb and barbule (arrow) microstructure preserved as melanic organosulphur residue. Scale bar: 500 µm. (c) Detail of boxed area (a), spectral X-ray map for sulphur K α ; blue and black correspond to higher and lower intensities (concentrations), respectively. Scale bar: 500 µm. (d,e) SEM images taken from location of proximal red-filled circle (a), showing melanosomes (arrows) preserved three-dimensionally and as moulded imprints, respectively. Scale bars: 1µm.

Here we provide the first evidence of colour from *Archaeopteryx*, along with new information regarding the identity, preservation and microstructure of the isolated feather.

Results

Identity. The specimen is considered to be a wing feather with aerodynamic function, based on its vane asymmetry^{9,10,17,18}, lateral curvature¹⁷ and obtusely angled distal tip¹⁰. In particular, the feather has previously been identified as a distal secondary^{1,10} or distal primary¹⁹. However, compared with the secondary and primary feathers of the skeletal specimens, the isolated feather is much wider relative to its length¹⁰. Furthermore, either interpretation would require that this small, moulted^{1,2,10} feather originated from an individual much smaller than the other known Archaeopteryx specimens^{2,10,20}, which all represent immature individuals^{21,22}. However, previous interpretations did not consider the relatively long calamus, which was originally visible on the specimen as a faint trace⁹ but has since worn away²⁰. While the mode of feather attachment in Archaeopteryx is unknown²⁰, comparison of the relative calamus length (22% of total feather length, 1.5 cm/6.9 cm)⁹ to that of modern bird feathers²³ suggests that the specimen may represent a distal member of the upper major primary coverts (Fig. 1c). With respect to other wing coverts, this particular interpretation of the feather is further supported by its angled distal tip¹⁰ as well as the asymmetric and almost entirely closed pennaceous nature of its vanes²³. Our interpretation is also more consistent with the relative width and small size of the feather, and would imply that the specimen was from the wing of an individual within the size range of the skeletal specimens of *Archaeopteryx* (presuming that the feather is conspecific¹).

Preservation. We interpret the feather's dark trace to be a melanic organosulphur residue, based on the following. First, we detected no manganese among nine point analyses throughout the feather, indicating that preservation was not due to precipitation of the inorganic mineral, manganese dioxide (MnO_2) , as has been suggested by some workers^{1,2,10,24}. Second, a potential organocopper biomarker for melanin was previously detected in this specimen; this biomarker has also been correlated with the presence of melanosomes in three fossil bird taxa²⁵. We hypothesize that melanosome structures fossilize simply by virtue of being solid aggregations of melanins²⁶⁻²⁸, which themselves have high preservation potential²⁹ as large, insoluble polymers resistant to degradation⁴. Third, the dark trace is associated with sulphur (Fig. 2), which may have derived from the sulphur-rich feather keratin^{23,25} and crosslinked with the melanin⁴; this is consistent with the sulphurization mechanism responsible for high-fidelity organic preservation in the fossil record³⁰. Indeed, the dark trace preserves very fine details of the feather barbs and barbules (Fig. 2b).

Microstructure. Barbules are also preserved as topographic impressions within the limestone matrix, and are indistinguishable from those of modern pennaceous feathers with respect to morphology²³ and barbule angles³¹ (Fig. 3). These impressions include a parallel array of distal barbules (Fig. 3b) that contain moulded imprints of



Figure 3 | Barbule microstructure in *Archaeopteryx* and extant bird feathers. (a) Optical photograph of boxed area within the *Archaeopteryx* feather (inset). Scale bar: 1mm. (b) SEM image from (a, red arrow) showing topographic impressions of a parallel array of distal barbules and a proximal barbule (boxed area). Scale bar: 50 μm. (c) Detail of boxed area (b). Dashed black lines indicate ridges of proximal barbule; dashed red line indicates possible ridge of corresponding barb ramus. Scale bar: 10 μm. (d) Detail of boxed area (c), showing rod-shaped melanosome imprints aligned along longitudinal axis of barbule. Scale bar: 1μm. (e,f) SEM images of barbs from a primary covert (ventral and dorsal surfaces, respectively) of American Woodcock (*Scolopax minor*). Arrow (f) indicates barbicle of overlapping distal barbule from adjacent barb; neighbouring distal barbules to the right have been removed to expose underlying proximal barbules. Scale bars: 100 μm (e) and 50 μm (f). (g) SEM image of longitudinal cross-section from barbule of White-breasted Nuthatch (*Sitta carolinensis*), showing alignment of melanosomes along longitudinal axis of barbule. Scale bar: 1μm. Abbreviations: b, barb; db, distal barbule; pb, proximal barbule; r, rachis; rm, ramus.



Figure 4 | Statistical analysis for reconstructing *Archaeopteryx* **feather colour.** Quadratic discriminant analysis of brown, black, grey and penguin type¹² from extant birds (solid points, n = 115; Supplementary Table S2) and *Archaeopteryx* (red-filled black circle; two unfilled black circles denote each melanosome preservation type analysed separately). Data points and 95% confidence bounds (ellipses) are shown in the colour of their respective classes; penguin type is shown in blue. Morphology of reconstructed feather is based on von Meyer (Plate VIII, Fig. 3)⁹; note original calamus length relative to that in Figure 1a.

melanosomes. Other melanosome imprints are aligned along the longitudinal axis of a proximal barbule (Fig. 3c,d), an arrangement previously reported in the barbules of extant and more recent fossil bird feathers^{14,15}.

Colour. Only rod-shaped eumelanosomes were observed in the *Archaeopteryx* feather (including melanosomes that were not measured), and are preserved both as imprints (~ $0.53-1.7 \mu m \log$, 180–360 nm wide, *n* = 86) and as three-dimensional (3D) structures

(~0.55–1.2µm long, 140–280 nm wide, n=22) (Fig. 2d,e; Supplementary Table S1). Long and short axes are significantly greater in imprints than in 3D melanosomes (both *P*-values < 0.001), as previously reported¹², and the aspect ratio of imprints is significantly smaller (*P*-value = 0.034).

Based on statistical analysis of five properties of melanosome morphology, we predict the original colour of the *Archaeopteryx* feather to be black, with 95% probability (Fig. 4). Separate analyses of imprints and 3D melanosomes also predict black colouration, with 91 and 75% probability, respectively.

Discussion

Herein we have provided the first evidence of colour from Archaeopteryx, and have demonstrated that the isolated fossil feather is most likely an upper major primary covert, exhibits modern barbule microstructure, and is preserved as an organosulphur residue. It is improbable that either of the other major classes of feather pigments, carotenoids and porphyrins, were also present in the Archaeopteryx feather, as they are relatively uncommon⁴ and generally do not occur in flight feathers, presumably for structural reasons^{23,32}. Additionally, even if there were codeposition of another pigment, the black melanin would likely mask its presence⁴. Indeed, the melanic residue is ubiquitous throughout the feather vanes, and no banding patterns¹⁵ are visible. We do not consider minor variation in local residue abundance to be indicative of pigmentation patterns²⁴, as light and dark areas often correspond to dark and light areas in the specimen's counterpart (BSP 1869 VIII 1), respectively (see Fig. 5.7 in Wellnhofer¹); missing barbs are often preserved on the counterpart as well²⁴. However, the residue does appear darkest at the distal tip of both this specimen (Fig. 1a) and its counterpart⁹, which may reflect a greater degree of melanization in this region.

The black pigmentation observed in areas of the feather not generally visible during the animal's life, such as the proximal region obscured by overlapping covert feathers (Fig. 1c) and proximal barbules obscured by overlapping distal barbules (Fig. 3c,e,f), suggests at least a partially non-visual role for this melanization²³. Although black pigmentation in the wing may have had a role in the crypsis, communication and/or thermoregulation⁴ of *Archaeopteryx*, such inferences cannot be made with any certainty. Similarly, in modern birds, melanins confer protection against feather-degrading bacteria that flourish in humid habitats^{4,33,34}, but it is uncertain whether the dry climate of the Jurassic Solnhofen^{1,35} would have provided sufficient evolutionary pressure to select for such an adaptation.

Nonetheless, melanins are large polymers that strongly crosslink with proteins⁴, and their presence substantially increases feather keratin hardness (by ~39% (ref. 36)), thickness and resistance to fracture from abrasion and cyclical loading during flight^{4–6,23,36,37}. This accounts for the fact that wing feathers (and especially their distal tips, where aerodynamic forces are high) display the greatest tendency towards melanization^{4–6,38}. The melanization observed throughout the *Archaeopteryx* wing feather would have provided similar structural advantages during this early evolutionary stage of dinosaur flight.

Methods

Fossil specimen. The isolated *Archaeopteryx* feather is specimen MB.Av.100, housed at the Humboldt Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin, Germany. The main slab (not analysed in this study) is BSP 1869 VIII 1, housed at the Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie in Munich, Germany. MB.Av.100 has always been the darker of the two feather traces, although it is considered to be the counter slab owing to its original position in the rock (Schwarz-Wings, personal communication). The isolated feather was the original holotype of the nominal species, *Archaeopteryx lithographica* von Meyer^{1,8}; the London specimen (BMNH 37001, housed at the Natural History Museum in London, England) has recently been designated as the neotype³⁹.

Scanning electron microscopy. The feather specimen was examined uncoated using a Zeiss Supra 55VP field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) equipped with an Oxford INCA Energy 300 SEM-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy system, at the Carl Zeiss Nanotechnology Center in Oberkochen, Germany. Melanosome imprints were imaged using an angle-selected backscattered detector at 20.00 kV and variable vacuum pressure (Supplementary Fig. S1a–e). Melanosomes preserved three-dimensionally were imaged using a secondary electron detector at 1.80 kV and high vacuum pressure (Supplementary Fig. S1g–l); one additional image used in the analysis was acquired using a Zeiss Ultra Plus FE-SEM at 3.00 kV (Supplementary Fig. S1f). ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) was used to measure long and short axes of melanosomes (Supplementary Table S1).

Statistical analysis. Measurements of imprints and 3D melanosomes from the Archaeopteryx feather (Supplementary Table S1) were compared with a two-tailed Student's t-test for long and short axes (homoscedastic) and a two-tailed Welch's t-test for aspect ratios (heteroscedastic) using Microsoft Excel. Melanosome data from 115 extant bird feathers (Supplementary Table S2) were collected and analysed using quadratic discriminant analysis as previously described^{12,13}. These samples represent a phylogenetically diverse range of 87 taxa from 27 orders, and included 56 additions that approximately doubled the sample size of the previous database. Extant bird samples were predicted to four classes (brown, black, grey and penguin type) based on five properties of melanosome morphology and with 80% cross-validation accuracy. Significant (α =0.10) discriminating variables of melanosome morphology included long axis (P-value <0.001), short axis (P-value < 0.001), short axis variation (P-value < 0.001), aspect ratio skew (P-value = 0.008) and long axis skew (P-value = 0.060). The discriminant function was highly significant (Pillai's trace, $F_{15,324} = 11.24$, P-value < 0.001). Canonical axis 1 explained 71.32% of the variation and was most strongly associated with long axis; canonical axis 2 explained 17.23% of the variation and was most strongly associated with short axis.

References

- 1. Wellnhofer, P. Archaeopteryx, The Icon of Evolution (Dr F. Pfeil-Verlag, 2009).
- Wellnhofer, P. in *Feathered Dragons* (eds Currie, P. J., Koppelhus, E. B., Shugar, M. A. & Wright, J. L.) 282–300 (Indiana Univ. Press, 2004).
- Wellnhofer, P. A short history of research on *Archaeopteryx* and its relationship with dinosaurs. *Geo. Soc. London* 343, 237–250 (2010).
- Hill, G. E. & McGraw, K. J. Bird Coloration Vol. 1 (Harvard Univ. Press, 2006).

- Burtt, E. H., Jr. An analysis of physical, physiological, and optical aspects of avian coloration with emphasis on wood-warblers. *Ornithol. Monogr.* 38, 1–126 (1986).
- Burtt, E. H. Jr. in *The Behavioral Significance of Color* (ed. Burtt, E. H., Jr.) 75–110 (Garland STMP Press, 1979).
- von Meyer, H. Vogel-Feder und Palpipes priscus von Solenhofen. N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Mh. Jahr. 1861, 561 (1861).
- von Meyer, H. Archaeopterix lithographica (Vogel-Feder) und Pterodactylus von Solenhofen. N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Mh. Jahr. 1861, 678–679 (1861).
- 9. von Meyer, H. Archaeopteryx lithographica aus dem lithographischen Schiefer von Solenhofen. Palaeontographica **10**, 53–56 (1862).
- Griffiths, P. J. The isolated *Archaeopteryx* feather. *Archaeopteryx* 14, 1–26 (1996).
 Davis, P. G. & Briggs, D. E. G. Fossilization of feathers. *Geology* 23, 783–786
- (1995). 12. Clarke, J. A. *et al.* Fossil evidence for evolution of the shape and color of
- penguin feathers. *Science* **330**, 954–957 (2010). 13. Li, Q. *et al.* Plumage color patterns of an extinct dinosaur. *Science* **327**,
- 1369–1372 (2010).
 Vinther, J., Briggs, D. E. G., Clarke, J., Mayr, G. & Prum, R. O. Structural coloration in a fossil feather. *Biol. Lett.* 6, 128–131 (2010).
- Vinther, J., Briggs, D. E. G., Prum, R. O. & Saranathan, V. The colour of fossil feathers. *Biol. Lett.* 4, 522–525 (2008).
- Zhang, F. et al. Fossilized melanosomes and the colour of Cretaceous dinosaurs and birds. Nature 463, 1075–1078 (2010).
- Norberg, R. A. in *The Beginnings of Birds: Proceedings of the International* Archaeopteryx Conference, Eichstätt 1984 (eds Hecht, M. K., Ostrom, J. H., Viohl, G. & Wellnhofer, P.) 303–318 (Freunde des Jura-Museums, 1985).
- Feduccia, A. & Tardoff, H. B. Feathers of Archaeopteryx: asymmetric vanes indicate aerodynamic function. Science 203, 1021–1022 (1979).
- 19. Savile, D. B. O. The primaries of Archaeopteryx. Auk 74, 99-101 (1957).
- Elzanowski, A. in Mesozoic Birds, Above the Heads of Dinosaurs (eds Chiappe, L. M. & Witmer, L. M.) 129–159 (Univ. of California Press, 2002).
- Houck, M. A., Gauthier, J. A. & Strauss, R. E. Allometric scaling in the earliest fossil bird, Archaeopteryx lithographica. Science 247, 195–198 (1990).
- 22. Erickson, G. M. *et al.* Was dinosaurian physiology inherited by birds? Reconciling slow growth in *Archaeopteryx. PLoS ONE* **4**, e7390 (2009).
- 23. Lucas, A. M. & Stettenheim, P. R. Avian Anatomy: Integument (US Department of Agriculture, 1972).
- Tischlinger, H. & Unwin, D. UV-untersuchungen des Berliner exemplars von Archaeopteryx lithographica H. v. Meyer 1861 und der isolierten Archaeopteryx-Feder. Archaeopteryx 22, 17–50 (2004).
- Wogelius, R. A. *et al.* Trace metals as biomarkers for eumelanin pigment in the fossil record. *Science* 333, 1622–1626 (2011).
- Raposo, G. & Marks, M. S. The dark side of lysosome-related organelles: specialization of the endocytic pathway for melanosome biogenesis. *Traffic* 3, 237–248 (2002).
- Delevoye, D., Giordano, F., Marks, M. S. & Raposo, G. in *Melanins and Melanosomes: Biosynthesis, Biogenesis, Physiological, and Pathological Functions* (eds Borovanský, J., & Riley, P. A.) 247–294 (Wiley-Blackwell, 2011).
- Seiji, M., Fitzpatrick, T. B., Simpson, R. T. & Birbeck, M. S. C. Chemical composition and terminology of specialized organelles (melanosomes and melanin granules) in mammalian melanocytes. *Nature* 197, 1082–1084 (1963).
- Hollingworth, N. T. J. & Barker, M. J. in *The Processes of Fossilization* (ed Donovan, S. K.) 105–119 (Columbia Univ. Press, 1991).
- 30. McNamara, M. E. *et al.* High-fidelity organic preservation of bone marrow in ca. 10 Ma amphibians. *Geology* **34**, 641–644 (2006).
- Carlisle, G. C. Some observations on the base: pennulum ratio and angular ratio of the barbules of the primaries in various groups of birds. *Ibis* 67, 908–919 (1925).
- 32. Olson, S. L. Specializations of some carotenoid-bearing feathers. *Condor* **72**, 424–430 (1970).
- 33. Gunderson, A. R., Frame, A. M., Swaddle, J. P. & Forsyth, M. H. Resistance of melanized feathers to bacterial degradation: is it really so black and white? *J. Avian Biol.* **39**, 539–545 (2008).
- 34. Goldstein, G. *et al.* Bacterial degradation of black and white feathers. *Auk* **121**, 656–659 (2004).
- 35. de Buisonjé, P. H. in *The Beginnings of Birds: Proceedings of the International Archaeopteryx Conference, Eichstätt 1984* (eds Hecht, M. K., Ostrom, J. H., Viohl, G. & Wellnhofer, P.) 45–65 (Freunde des Jura-Museums, 1985).
- Bonser, R. H. C. Melanin and the abrasion resistance of feathers. *Condor* 97, 590–591 (1995).
- 37. Voitkevich, A. A. The Feathers and Plumage of Birds (October House, 1966).
- 38. Averill, C. K. Black wing tips. Condor 25, 57-59 (1923).
- International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. Archaeopteryx lithographica von Meyer, 1861 (Aves): conservation of usage by designation of a neotype. Bull. Zoo. Nom. 68, 230–233 (2011).

Acknowledgements

© 2012 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved.

We thank D. Schwarz-Wings and the Humboldt Museum für Naturkunde Berlin for generous access to the *Archaeopteryx* specimen and for supplying the optical photographs used in the figures, S. Gatesy and N. Konow (Brown University) for helpful comments on

ARTICLE

the manuscript, and S. Moritz (Brown University) for translating the German references. This work was supported by a National Geographic Society Waitt grant (R.M.C.), a National Geographic Society Expeditions Council grant (R.M.C.) and United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research grant FA9550-09-1-0159 (M.D.S.).

Author contributions

R.M.C., J.V. and M.D.S. designed the project. J.A., R.M.C., J.V., M.D.S. and L.D. collected the data. M.D.S. performed the statistical analysis. R.M.C. prepared the manuscript, and J.V., M.D.S., L.D. and J.A. edited the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Carney, R. M. *et al.* New evidence on the colour and nature of the isolated *Archaeopteryx* feather. *Nat. Commun.* 3:637 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1642 (2012).